UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

		THE TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE TOTAL CONTRACTOR OT THE TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE TOTAL CONTRACTOR OT THE TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE TO	
U	NITED STATES OF AMERICA	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
Tomas Ivan Martinez-Zamora		Case Number: <u>11-02411M-001</u>	
and was repre	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § esented by counsel. I conclude by a pre ant pending trial in this case.	3142(f), a detention hearing was held on March 3, 2011. Defendant was present ponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention	
I find by a pre	eponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT	
Ź ⊠	•	e United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the c	at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.	
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximun	n of years imprisonment.	
The C at the time of	the hearing in this matter, except as n	terial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court oted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
1.	There is a serious risk that the defe		
2.	No condition or combination of con-	ditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	
		IONS REGARDING DETENTION	
a corrections f appeal. The d of the United S	acility separate, to the extent practicab lefendant shall be afforded a reasonab States or on request of an attorney for t	of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in le, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending le opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court he Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the ose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
		S AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE	
IT IS (deliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of th of the motion for review/reconsideratio	is detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to in to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District	
Services suffice		to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial re the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DATE: <u>Mar</u>	ch 3, 2011	JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge	